## On the unit of adaptation in spatially structured host-parasite systems

Minus van Baalen

Equipe Mathématique Eco-Evolutive IBENS, Paris

IHÉS, Bures-sur-Yvette



- A genetic strain?
- A quasispecies?
- An infection?
- A cluster of infections?
- A clone?
- An individual?







#### What is 'a' parasite?



transmission

#### **Trade-off**



'Fitness is maximised'

OK, let's take that for granted.

But by whom or what ?

#### **Evolutionary Theory** in a Nutshell

#### ecosystem

biodiversity, nutrient cycles

#### population

competition, predation, epidemiology, social interactions

#### individual

birth, death, development, behaviour

#### within-individual

physiology, learning, infection, immune response

#### Levels of organisation

#### Fitness = Lifetime Reproductive Success



Life-history theory, epidemiology, even population genetics...

## **Evolutionary Theory**

#### ecosystem

biodiversity, nutrient cycles



competition, predation, epidemiology, social interactions

#### individual

birth, death, development, behaviour

#### within-individual

physiology, infection, immune response

#### Levels of organisation







## An anthill is an individual (almost)



#### A lichen is an association

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Levels of organisation

Model for the origin of life

- interactions between simple molecules
- can persist where single species cannot
- susceptible to 'parasites'

## The Hypercycle

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Hypercycle

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Exploited Hypercycle**

#### Boerlijst & Hogeweg (1991) simulated a probabilistic cellular automaton to study spatial structure generated by hypercycles

8

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

<b>**********************</b> **************		
	and the second second second	att int it ftertetti
81141-111		te e territe that the state
s. terining sist.st.		
**************************************		
		and a second
b = 1600.		

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

Boerlijst & Hogeweg's (1991) results

- Tend to form rotating spirals
- Parasites swept outward
- Selection on rotation speed
  - favouring higher mortality

## **Spatial Hypercycles**

Selection at the level of the spiral

Rotation speed selected trait

But:

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Rapidly rotating spirals 'fly apart'
- Evolution towards criticality
  - Rand, Keeling & Howard 1995

#### **Spatial evolution**

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

van Ballegooijen & Boerlijst 2004

Mutants create clusters Clusters unit of adaptation

unit of selection is a confusing term

Mathematical characterisation

- Correlation dynamics
  - Matsuda et al. (1992), Van Baalen & Rand (1998), Van Baalen (2000),
     Ferrière & Le Galliard (2001), Lion & van Baalen (2007)

# $\frac{dp_{ss}}{dt} = 2[\phi b_s + \overline{\phi}(b_s + m_s)g_{stos}]p^{S_{ss}}$ $\frac{dp_{ss}}{dt} = 2[\phi b_s + \overline{\phi}(b_s + m_s)g_{stos}]p^{S_{ss}}$

nuous. In view of the preceding remarks, to first order we need only consider th

events individually on the value of f over a short time  $\delta t$ . Using the individual

ctation of the value of f at time  $t + \delta t$  is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\sigma^{t+\delta t})\right] = f(\sigma^{t}) + \sum_{e \in E^{\sigma}} \left(r^{\sigma}(e)\delta t + O(\delta t^{2})\right) \left(f(\sigma^{t}_{e}) - f(\sigma^{t})\right)$$
Morris (1997)

the limit  $\delta t \to 0$  and using the assumption that  $\mathbb{E}[f(\sigma)] \approx f(\sigma)$  in this limit, this equa

$$\dot{f} \equiv \frac{df}{dt}(\sigma) = \sum_{e \in E} r^{\sigma}(e)\delta f_e$$

 $= f(\sigma_e) - f(\sigma)$ , the change in f caused by event e.

#### Bookkeeping

e main building block of the chapter and forms the basis of the dynamics for all a

# death:Image: Constraint of the second se

growth, infection, long-range dispersal, cooperation, spite, ...

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Empty sites (*o*), healthy (*S*) and infected (*I*) individuals
- Full correlation dynamics model tracks oo, So, SS, Io, II, and SI pairs
- Depends on 'higher moments'
- SI pairs give insight in contact rate
- Not equal to product of *S* and *I* !

#### **Contact epidemics**

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Empty sites *o*, altruistic *A* and selfish *S* individuals
- Full correlation dynamics model tracks oo, So, SS, Ao, AS, and AA pairs

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

$$\frac{dt}{dt} = (b_{S} + \sigma e^{iS})^{2} + \overline{\phi}(b_{A} + m_{A})q_{A|oS} + d_{s} \\
- [\phi b_{S} + \overline{\phi}(b_{S} + m_{S})q_{S|oS} + \overline{\phi}(b_{A} + m_{A})q_{A|oS} + d_{s} \\
- \overline{\phi}m_{S}q_{o|SS}]p_{So} \\
+ [d_{S} + \overline{\phi}m_{S}q_{o|SS}]p_{SS} \\
+ [d_{A} + \overline{\phi}m_{A}q_{o|AS}]p_{SA} \\
\frac{dp_{SS}}{dt} = 2[\phi b_{S} + \overline{\phi}(b_{S} + m_{S})q_{S|oS}]p_{So} \\
- 2[d_{S} + m_{s}\overline{\phi}q_{o|SS}]p_{SS} \\
\frac{dp_{Ao}}{dt} = (b_{A} + m_{A})\overline{\phi}q_{A|oo}p_{oo} \quad (A.1) \\
- [\phi b_{A} + \overline{\phi}(b_{A} + m_{A})q_{A|oA} + \overline{\phi}(b_{S} + m_{S})q_{S|oA} + d_{A} \\
+ \overline{\phi}m_{A}q_{o|Ao}]p_{Ao} \\
+ [d_{A} + \overline{\phi}m_{A}q_{o|AA}]p_{AA} \quad \text{Van Baalen & Rand (1998)} \\
+ [d_{S} + \overline{\phi}m_{S}q_{o|SA}]p_{SA}$$

- Empty sites *o*, altruistic *A* and selfish *S* individuals
- Full correlation dynamics model tracks oo, So, SS, Ao, AS, and AA pairs
- Resident system: (*oo*, *So*, *SS*)
- Mutant invader: (*Ao*, *AS*, *AA*)

$$\mathbf{p}_A = \begin{bmatrix} p_{Ao} \\ p_{AS} \\ p_{AA} \end{bmatrix}$$

## **Adaptive Dynamics**

$$\mathbf{p}_A = \begin{pmatrix} p_{Ao} \\ p_{AS} \\ p_{AA} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_A}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q}_A)\mathbf{p}_A$$

Dynamics of mutant given by sets of equations

- Matrix formalism
- Fitness: dominant Lyapunov exponent
- Unit of selection: corresponding eigenvector

#### Invasion of altruist mutant

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Characteristic cluster**

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

Traits of the cluster determine invasion success

Close link with Hamilton's inclusive fitness

Invasion condition

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

Coefficient of relatedness r ecological variable

#### Viscous populations

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

Cluster functions as unit of adaptation

Individuals balance selfish interests with common good

## Viscous populations

Individuals but associations of more-or-less independent smaller entities

- genes
- haploid
- organelles
- cells
- individuals
- populations

chromosomes diploid cells multicellular organisms symbioses 'superindividuals'

## Individuals are not really

#### A plasmid is

- a parasite in absence of antibiotics
- a mutualist in its presence

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

Parasites that

- I. cause mild negative effects
- 2. protect against other risks

Exx:

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

Plasmids that code for resistance 'Probiotic' intestinal flora Cowpox that vaccinates against smallpox Wolbachia protects against RNA virus

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

Kostitzin, V. A. (1934). Symbiose, Parasitisme et Évolution (Étude Mathématique). Hermann et Cie, Paris.

## 'Dangerous liaisons'

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

Common good  $\longrightarrow$ 

#### Private interest vs Common good

Whenever two individuals interact they will have aligned interests

- favouring (limited) cooperation
- survival, competitiveness
  - e.g. plant-rhizosphere
- not individual reproduction
  - a host should not help its parasites to spread
- If there is relatedness, it helps!

#### Dangerous Liaisons

Better mathematical definition of

- Individual as unit of adaptation
   "who benefits"
- Common good (relative to selfish interest)
- Ecological conditions that affect balance

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

Copyright © Pearson Education, Inc., publishing as Benjamin Cummings.

Unit of selection

 any structure that has differential dynamics

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

Unit of adaptation

 that what benefits from an adaptation

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Definitions...

Who benefit from adaptation:

- sometimes individuals
- often clearly associations

Whatever the case unit of adaptation:

- cooperative association
- balance of selfish interest and common good

### Unit of adaptation

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

Counterintuitive outcomes

- Tend to form rotating spirals
- Selection on rotation speed
  - favouring higher mortality
- Infective disease could help the spiral to compete

## **Spatial Hypercycles**

- How to deal with dynamic associations?
- Disentangling common good/private benefit

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Questions & challenges**

- How to deal with dynamic associations?
- Disentangling common good/private benefit
- What would favour increased integration?
- What governs eventual evolutionary transitions?

#### **Questions & challenges**

Many mutualistic symbioses presumably evolved from parasitic interactions

• What governs the transition between parasitism and mutualism?

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

On every level there is potential for conflict between private interest and common good :

- genes
   selfish DNA
- chromosomes
- organelles
- cells
- symbionts
- mutualists
- local populations

selfish DNA meiotic drive 'mitochondrial wars' cancer disease cheaters nepotism

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_4.jpeg)